





**RED:** As per ordinance, **Green:** As per practice/ additionally proposed

### Flow of Ph.D. Program









The supervisor(s) shall jointly (if Supervisor-II/Co-Supervisor wherever applicable) suggest a panel of experts for the purpose, at least 45 days prior for the expected date of thesis submission to the Dean (R&D) (to facilitate parallel seeking of concurrence by the evaluators), as follows;

- A Total of **12 names** shall be suggested, each of which shall be an expert in the area of the thesis to be evaluated. Their expertise should be well evidenced by the documents in support.
- All the suggested experts shall be of the stature of at least that of an Associate Professor of an Institution of National Eminence or higher.
- Out of **12 suggested panelists** a minimum of **FIVE** shall be from out of India and remaining from within the India.
- Of the suggested panelists from India, not more than **three** could be from **Uttar Pradesh**.
- Persons of appropriate level as indicated before, who are associated with a reputed Foreign – Institution / Organization for the past at least three years may only be considered on the panel, as the one from outside India.
- Dean (R&D)** will present the panel to the **Chairperson Senate**, who may accept the suggested panelists for being on the Evaluation Panel or introduce his own names on the panel.
- While approving the names for the evaluation panel the **Chairperson Senate** shall indicate order of priority on which the **R&D Section** under intimation to **Dean (R&D)** shall approach them for acceptance to evaluate the thesis under consideration, clearly appending along with the Synopsis of the work.
- The evaluator shall also be required to sign a **Declaration** that he/she is not a relative of the candidate or the Supervisor(s).
- Evaluation panel for each candidate shall be individually approved by the Chairperson Senate and shall comprise of Three Persons as per the above (Ensuring that at least **one** of the **three** evaluators is from abroad).

Ref.
Ordinance-2018
Pg. No. -76
Point No. 27 (ix)

- Following requirements to be adhered to:
- A Synopsis of the Thesis should be submitted in a hard copy duly bound.
  - Final Hard Bound Copy to be submitted by the candidate before the final Viva-Voce cum Open Thesis Defense, clearly certifying that the suggestions/corrections desired to be incorporated by the Thesis Examination Experts have been duly incorporated and complied with.
  - Two copies, together with one Soft Copy to be submitted.
  - One compendium of all publications in a properly indexed volume should be submitted. One hard bound and one soft copy in a CD/Pen Drive.

Ref.
Ordinance-2018
Pg. No. -78
Point No. xi

- The following documents are required to be attached in the following order with page numbering at the time of final submission:
- 2 Hard copies of the modified thesis, where various flags should be inserted on the pages wherein the desired correction has been accommodated (signed by both).
  - Internal Panel List (Submitted by the Supervisor(s) only)
  - Request form for Oral Defense by the Supervisor(s).
  - Response sheet
  - Request form for Oral Defense by student.
  - No Dues
  - Transfer of copyright
  - All the soft documents in pen drive
  - Electronic copy of response sheet and final thesis (highlighted the changes suggested by the external examiner) sent on email [rds@iiita.ac.in](mailto:rds@iiita.ac.in)

- The Dean (R&D) will get the Viva-Voce – cum – Open Defense evaluation board constituted.
- The said Board meeting shall be convened by the R&D Section and the Board shall comprise of the following:
  - The Supervisor – Chairperson of the Board
  - Supervisor-II/ Co-Supervisor (if applicable)
  - One of the External Examiner as identified by the Chairperson Senate
  - Head of the Concerned Department/One of the Doctoral Committee Member/Nominee of the Chairperson Senate

Ref.
Ordinance-2018
Pg. No. -78
Point No. xii